

1933-179

Nansemond County (Suffolk)

Chancery Causes: Hugh L. Holland

VS

George W. Jordan & C

207

* All old chancery cases dismissed in this year

Lawrence, Parker, Haynes, Pepsi Cola
Bottling Works]

Hugh L. Holland.....Plaintiff

vs.....FINAL DECREE

George W. Jordan; Mary E. Jordan;
S. M. Lawrence, Trustee; Minnie E.
Parker, Beneficiary; Laura S. Haynes,
Beneficiary; Pepsi-Cola Bottling
Works.....Defendants

This day came the parties, plaintiff and defend-
ants by Counsel and it being represented to the court that
the matter has been disposed of to the entire satisfaction
of both parties, plaintiff and defendants that all costs
have been paid, and on motion of both parties the plaintiff
and defendants, by Counsel, this cause is ordered to be
stricken from the ~~issue~~ docket and placed among the ended
causes with leave, however, to be reinstated on motion of any
person ^{of} ~~at~~ interest after notice to the adverse party.

Hugh L. Holland.....Plaintiff

vs.....FINAL DECREE

George W. Jordan,
Mary E. Jordan, et als.

Enter April 27, 1927
J. L. McLeese
Page 18

HUGH L. HOLLAND
ATTORNEY AT LAW
SUFFOLK, VIRGINIA

The Commonwealth of Virginia,

To the Sheriff of the County of Nansemond, Greeting:

WE COMMAND YOU, That you summon George W. Jordan, Mary E. Jordan, S.M. Lawrence, Trustee, Minnie E. Parker, Beneficiary, Laura S. Haynes, Beneficiary,
and Pepsi Cola Bottling Works

to appear at the Clerk's office of the Circuit Court of the County of Nansemond
at the rules to be held for the said Court on the Third Monday in April, 1927,
to answer a bill in chancery, exhibited against them in our said Court by
Hugh L. Holland

And have then there this writ. Witness G.E. Bunting, Clerk of our said
Court, at the court-house, the 5th day of April, 1927, and in the
151st year of the Commonwealth.

G.E. Bunting, Clerk

Mary E Jordan 4/27
Original

Hugh R. Holland

vs. } SUBPOENA IN CHANCERY

George W. Jordan et al

H. R. Hooper p. q.

To April Rules,
3rd Monday 1827
Nansemond County Circuit Court.

Shuffel 100

Executed on the 7 day of April
1827, within the County of Nansemond, State
of Virginia, by delivering a true copy of the with-
In summons notice, in writing, to Mary E
Jordan in person.
E. B. Rawles
Sheriff of Nansemond County
By J. A. Taylor
Deputy Sheriff of Nansemond County

George W. Jordan could not be found at
his usual place of abode on the 7 day of
April, 1827, so the within
summons notice was executed on the said 7
day of April, 1827, within the
County of Nansemond, State of Virginia, by de-
livering a true copy of the same, in writing, and
giving information of its purport to Mary
E. Jordan,
who was found at
George W. Jordan
usual place of abode, and who is a member of
George W. Jordan Family,
and above the age of sixteen years.
E. B. Rawles
Sheriff of Nansemond County
By J. A. Taylor
Deputy Sheriff of Nansemond County

The Commonwealth of Virginia,

Sergeant of the City of Suffolk
To the ~~Sheriff of the County of~~ ~~xxxxxxxxxxxxxx~~, Greeting:

WE COMMAND YOU, That you summon George W. Jordan, Mary E. Jordan, S.M. Lawrence,
Trustee, Minnie E. Parker, Beneficiary, Laura S. Haynes, Beneficiary and
Pepsi Cola Bottling Works

to appear at the Clerk's office of the Circuit Court of the County of Nansemond
at the rules to be held for the said Court on the Third Monday in April, 19 27,
to answer a bill in chancery, exhibited against them in our said Court by
Hugh L. Holland

And have then there this writ. Witness G.E. Bunting, Clerk of our said
Court, at the court-house, the 5th day of April, 19 27, and in the
151st year of the Commonwealth.

G.E. Bunting

Service accepted for
Lama S. Haynes
SM Lawrence Agent

Apr. 6. 1927

Executed *April 6 1927* 190

By serving a true copy thereof on the
defendant in person

Lama S. Haynes

In the City of Suffolk, Va.

John S. Haynes

By

Deputy Sergeant

Executed *April 6 1927* 190

By serving a true copy thereof on the
defendant in person

Robert A. Haynes

In the City of Suffolk, Va.

John S. Haynes

By

Deputy Sergeant

Executed *April 6 1927* 190

By serving a true copy thereof on the
defendant in person

Arthur S. Haynes

In the City of Suffolk, Va.

John S. Haynes

By

Deputy Sergeant

Original

No. 300

Hugh L. Holland

vs.

SUBPOENA IN CHANCERY

George W. Jordan et als

Hugh L. Holland

p. q.

To.....April.....Rules,

Third Monday, 1927

Nansemond County.....Circuit Court.

VIRGINIA:

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE COUNTY OF NANSEMOND.

Hugh L. Holland.....Complainant

vs.....MEMORANDUM

George W. Jordan; Mary E. Jordan;
S. M. Lawrence, Trustee; Minnie E.
Parker, Beneficiary; Laura S. Haynes,
Beneficiary; and Pepsi Cola Bottling
Works.....Defendants

To George E. Bunting, Clerk, Circuit Court of
Nansemond County:

Please issue summons, for the complainant,
against the defendants to second (1927) April rules,
in chancery.

VIRGINIA:

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF NANSEMONI
COUNTY.

Hugh L. Holland.....Complainant

Vs.....MEMORANDUM

George W. Jordan; Mary E.
Jordan; S.M.Lawrence, Trustee;
Minnie E. Parker, Beneficiary;
Laura S. Haynes, Beneficiary;
and Pepsi Cola Bottling
Works.....Defendants

HUGH L. HOLLAND
ATTORNEY AT LAW
SUFFOLK, VIRGINIA

VIRGINIA:

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF NANSEMOND COUNTY.

Hugh L. Holland.....Complainant.

Vs. BILL OF COMPLAINT

George W. Jordan; Mary E. Jordan; S. M.
Lawrence, Trustee; Minnie E. Parker,
Beneficiary, Laura S. Haynes, Beneficiary,
and Pepsi Cola Bottling Works.....Defendants.

TO THE HONORABLE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE COUNTY OF
NANSEMOND, VIRGINIA.

Your complainant, Hugh L. Holland, respectfully
represents:

(1) That on February 5, 1927, he recovered in the
Justice Court for the County of Nansemond, a judgment against
George W. Jordan of the County of Nansemond, State of Virginia,
for the sum of Eighty Six Dollars and Twenty Cents (\$86.20),
with interest thereon from the 13th day of August, 1926, until
paid, together with Three Dollars and Seventy-five Cents (\$3.75)
cost, and 10% attorneys fee as allowed in note upon which
judgment was secured, and that the said judgment was docketed
in the Clerk's Office of said court on the 11th day of February
1927, in Judgment Docket No. 5, page 171, as abstract of said
judgment herewith filed and marked "Exhibit A", will show,
said abstract being made a part of this bill.

(2) that a writ of FIERI FACIAS was issued upon
said judgment on the 2nd day of February, 1927, directed to
J. J. S. Branch, Constable, of the County of Nansemond, and
upon which FIERI FACIAS no return has been made.

(3) That said George W. Jordan and Mary E. Jordan
are seized and possessed, in fee simple, of a certain undivided

interest in and to a certain tract of land, lying and being in the County of Nansemond, State of Virginia, and described as follows:

"All their right, title, interest and estate, being two undivided one-sevenths ($1/7$), or an undivided two-sevenths ($2/7$) interest, in and to the following real estate, to-wit; that certain tract of land containing forty (40) acres, more or less, situated in Chuckatuck Magisterial District, in said County of Nansemond, State of Virginia, and on the West side of the County Road leading out from Suffolk to Exit, and bounded on the East side by said County Road; on the West and North by land formerly belonging to A. W. Turner, now N. G. Norfleet, and on the South by the Road leading from the Chuckatuck Road to Murphy's Mill, and being the same land formerly owned by Randolph Jordan (father of the said George W. Jordan) and which the said Randolph Jordan conveyed to his wife, Margaret Jordan and the mother of George W. Jordan, during her life, and at her death to her heirs and assigns, the said deed being dated April 29th, 1878, and recorded on April 29th, 1878, in Deed Book No. 6, page 581, in the Clerk's Office of Nansemond County, Virginia, The said George W. Jordan being one of the seven heirs (children) of the said Margaret Jordan, deceased; he, the said George W. Jordan thus acquired by inheritance one-seventh interest in said land, and he, the said George W. Jordan, acquired another one-seventh interest in said land by purchase from Jacob W. Jordan (and wife) another of the seven heirs (children) of said Margaret Jordan, deceased, by deed bearing date on ~~the~~ April 7th, 1908, and duly recorded on April 8th, 1908, in Deed Book No. 64, page 524, in said Clerk's Office."

(4) That there appears on record in the Clerk's Office of the Circuit Court of the County of Nansemond, Virginia, a certain deed of trust from Mary E. Jordan and George W. Jordan, her husband, to S. M. Lawrence, Trustee, to secure Minnie E. Parker in the payment of the sum of Six Hundred and Fifty (\$650.00) Dollars, said deed of trust being dated July 15, 1920, and recorded in the Clerk's Office of the Circuit Court of Nansemond County, Virginia, in Deed Book 93, page 95, same having been recorded in said Clerk's Office on July 19, 1920. The records show that there is a balance of Six Hundred (\$600.00) Dollars still due on said deed of trust.

(5) That there appears on record in the Clerk's Office of the Circuit Court of Nansemond County, Virginia, a certain deed of trust from Mary E. Jordan and George W. Jordan, her husband, to S. M. Lawrence, Trustee, to secure Minnie E. Parker in the payment of the sum of One Hundred Ninety Five (\$195.00) Dollars, said deed of trust bearing date February 2, 1926, and recorded in the Clerk's Office of the Circuit Court of Nansemond County, Virginia, on February 2, 1926, in Deed Book 107, page 483. Your complainant does not know what amount has been paid on said deed of trust, or what the balance due on said deed of trust is.

(6) That there appears on record in the Clerk's Office of the Circuit Court of Nansemond County, Virginia, a certain deed of trust from Mary E. Jordan and George W. Jordan, her husband, to S. M. Lawrence, Trustee, to secure Mrs. Laura S. Haynes in the payment of the sum of Eighty Eight (\$88.00) Dollars, said deed of trust being dated

May 1, 1926, and recorded May 3, 1926, in the said Clerk's Office in Deed Book 109, page 90. Your complainant does not know what amount has been paid on said deed of trust, or what the balance due on said deed of trust is.

(7) That there appears on record in the Clerk's Office of the Circuit Court of the County of Nansemond, Virginia, a certain judgment in favor of the Pepsi Cola Bottling Works vs. George Jordan and Dorsey (Or Dawsey) Howard, for the sum of Twenty Two Dollars and Eight Cents (\$22.08), with interest thereon from February 9, 1914, until paid. Together with Nine Dollars and Fifty-five Cents (\$9.55) court costs, said judgment being dated May 14, 1914, and docketed in the said Clerk's Office on July 28, 1914, in Judgment Docket No. 3, page 200. Your complainant contends that this judgment has run out of date due to the fact that there was no return made on the same, and that it is now over ten years of age.

(8) That the rents and profits of the said real estate will not satisfy said judgment in five years.

In consideration whereof and for as much as your complainant is remediless in the premises save in a court of equity, your complainant prays that the said George W. Jordan; Mary E. Jordan; S. M. Lawrence, Trustee; Minne E. Parker, Beneficiary, Laura S. Haynes, Beneficiary, and Pepsi Cola Bottling Works be made party defendants to this bill, and required, but not on oath, to answer the same, the oath being hereby waived; that the balance due on the said deed of trust to S. M. Lawrence, Trustee, be determined by the court; that said judgment in favor of Pepsi Cola Bottling Works be declared out of date; that all proper orders and decrees may be made, or inquiries directed and accounts taken; that if it shall appear that the rents and

profits of said real estate will not satisfy said judgment in five years, the said real estate, or so much thereof as may be necessary, be sold to satisfy the said judgment in favor of your complainant; that if the rents and profits of said real estate will satisfy the judgment in five years in favor of your complainant, the said land be rented out and the rents and profits therefrom be applied to the said judgment until the same is fully satisfied; and that your complainant may have such further and other and general relief in the premises as the nature of this case may require, or to equity shall seem meet.

Virginia
a Justice

In the Circuit Court for the County of

Nassau

Feb. 11th

Term, 1927

Hugh S. Halland

Plaintiff

Action of

versus

Debt

Geo. W. Jordan

Defendant

Judgment in favor of the Plaintiff against the Defendant for the

sum of Eighty six $\frac{20}{100}$ Dollars

\$ 86.20

With interest thereon at the rate of six per centum per annum, from

13th day of August 1926

until payment

Subject to credit, viz. Homestead Waived

And the cost of suit, \$ 3.75

A FIERI FACIAS issued from the Clerk's office of said Court on the 2nd day of Feb. 1927
1927 returnable to the 3rd Monday in April thereafter, directed to the J. S. Branch Constable
of the County of Nassau who hath made return thereon in the words and figures following,
to wit:

This judgment was duly docketed in the Clerk's
office of the Circuit Court of the County of
of Nassau on the 11 day of Feb. 1927
Judgment Docket No. 5 Page 171

Teste:

J. E. Bunting Clerk.

M. K. Lardner D. C. #4

By, M. K. Lardner D. C.

Hugh S. Holland

vs.

}

ABSTRACT OF
JUDGMENT

Geo. W. Jordan

VIRGINIA:

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
COUNTY OF NANSEMOND.

Hugh L. Holland.....Complainant

vs....BILL OF COMPLAINT

George W. Jordan; Mary E.
Jordan; S.M. Lawrence, Trustee;
Minnie E. Parker, Beneficiary;
Laura S. Haynes, Beneficiary;
and Pepsi Cola Bottling
Works.....Defendants

HUGH L. HOLLAND
ATTORNEY AT LAW
SUFFOLK, VIRGINIA